MEMORANDUM TO: Director, NPIC

PAD 45/62 16 April 1962

FROM	: Chief, CIA/PAD (NPIC)	
SUBJECT	: Responsibility for CIA Photographic Interpretation	
REFERENCE	: (A) CIA/RR CB-SC-62-5, 28 March 1962	
personnel e had char we not let between at	sfore CIA/PAD even knew of the existence of Reference (A) OSI were calling to ask if aged our stand on the Chinese Missile Test Rangeif so, why had them know. Obviously then, Reference (A) was not coordinated least two missile-intelligence areas of the DDI.  immediately took steps to procure a copy of Reference (A). It is say the PI's of PAD/MEB were a bit aghast at such statements as	STATINT
	o day one if a or rany and were a ore agnast at such a catements as	25>
Ob Division, h	oviously, a third DDI area, namely the Photographic Analysis and not been coordinated with.	
3. So which might	ources listed by Reference (A) include three CIA/NPIC reports the lead some readers to the conclusion that official CIA phototion agrees with the referenced report.	
4. CI	A/PAD has copy number 89 of the referenced report written by	
not know ho	w many copies were printed or what the distribution was.	STATINT
5. As	suming that OSI will not be the only area calling PAD on the	

carpet for the PI in the referenced report we expect to be needlessly heckled in the coming days. If it did not occur to OSI that ORR was doing its own PI it stands to reason that others will also overlook the "obvious".



6. Since this photo material is available to agency requestors through the Graphics Register (from PRSD, Army Map Service, etc.) the basic question is not whether MPIC should release photo materials but who in the agency is responsible for photographic intelligence.

STATINTL

Distribution:

Orig - Dir. NPIC

1 - Subj. folder

1 - Chrono folder

(CIA/PAD/NPIC jmm 16 Apr 62)

STATINTL